History of robotic surgery

History of robotic surgery

The first documented clinical robotic procedure was a computed tomography (CT)-guided brain biopsy performed in 1985 utilising the PUMA (Programmable Universal Machine for Assembly) 560 system. This was followed by the ROBODOC, a pre-programmed active robot that enabled precise preparation of the femoral implant cavity during hip replacement. The benefit of such a device was the ability to perform tasks to a high degree of accuracy , thus minimising error and variation. While this and other active surgical robots demonstrated a number of advantages, they were largely copy , which became increasingly commonplace across during the 1990s and 2000s. - In 1992, Computer Motion developed the AESOP (Auto - mated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning) system, which mounted the endoscopic camera on a single robotic arm, allowing the surgeon to control it r emotely via voice com - mand. The system was widely used in cholecystectomy and her nia surgery and for harvesting the mammary conduit in - coronary artery bypass. This was followed by the de velopment of the ZEUS robot in 1996, a master–slave teleoperated system that provided three robotic arms, one for the voice-controlled endoscope and two further instrument arms. The surgeon was positioned at a remote console and the device was capable of motion scaling and tremor correction, facilitating its use for microsurgical procedures. ZEUS was used for the first fully endoscopic robotic surgical procedure, the reanastomosis of a Fallopian tube in 1998. The first remote surgical procedure - was performed in 2001, also utilising the ZEUS system. Here a cholecystectomy was performed on a patient in Paris by a surgeon in New Y ork, demonstrating the feasibility of remote operating. ZEUS was discontinued in 2003 after the merger of Computer Motion with Intuitive Surgical. The current era of surgical robots is dominated by the da ® Vinci surgical system, which was first approved for clinical use in 2000. The system o ff ers a number of advantages, including ® 3D surgical vision, EndoWrist precision instruments, tremor reduction, motion scaling and improved ergonomics. The ini - tial system was released in 1999 and provided three robotic arms, one of which held the endoscope. This was upgraded to the da Vinci S (2006), the da Vinci Si (2009) and subsequently the da Vinci Xi in 2014 (Figure 10.2). With each itera tion came improvements in vision and instrumentation, along with which came integrated fluorescence imaging. More recently , nov el technologies include the development of a single port system (da Vinci SP), which combines multijointed wristed instrumentation with a wristed camera through a single port to further improve dexterity and minimise surgical trauma.

(a) (b) Figure 10.2 The da Vinci Xi system: (a) surgeon console; (b) da Vinci Xi robot; (c) (c) vision cart (courtesy of Intuitive Surgical).

Surgical robots have been considered to o ff er many benefits, which have arisen as a result of new technology in lenses, cameras and computer software. Just as laparoscopic surgery benefited from advances in light technology allowing the targeted transmission of light down tubing, robotic surgery benefits from computer integration of mechanical (surgical) arms that have paved the way for computer-integrated surgery . Vision Modern robotic camera systems o ff er 3D high-definition

imag ing, providing stereoscopic vision with true depth perception that enhances the visualisation of tissue planes and key struc tures. Multiport systems typically employ a rigid endoscope with or without angulation. As with conventional endoscopes, angulation to 30° allows for a wider range of vision thr manipulation of the camera position, which, in the case of robotic surgery , can be controlled by the surgeon at the console or, if required, by the assistant at the bedside. A reference horizon is commonly provided to the surgeon at the console system so as to maintain orientation throughout the procedure. More recently , modern single-port systems such as the da Vinci SP employ a wristed camera system that, in combination with fully wristed instruments, may allow for operative triangulation while at the same time maintaining a small, single skin incision. Manoeuvrability, motion scaling and tremor suppression Improved manoeuvring as a result of the 'robotic wrist' in some systems allows for up to seven degrees of freedom, thus improving dexterity for the surgeon. This has particular benefits in fields with significant space restraints such as transoral surgery , where conventional laparoscopy has limited applicability . Furthermore, the increased dexterity of surgical robots may facilitate a minimal access approach to more complex procedures where the technical di ffi culty of applying conventional laparoscopy may be prohibitive. As the motion of the surgeon's hand is translated to the 'slave' motion of the robotic arm, modern surgical robots are able to scale down large external movements of the surgical hands to limited internal movements. At the same time, the computer may filter out tremor in the surgeon's hands, thus ensuring stability of the instrument tips and enhancing surgical precision. Ergonomics Although the advent of straight stick laparoscopic surgery had many advantages for the patient, for the surgeon there was a trade-o ff in terms of operative ergonomics. Increased operative time in addition to unergonomic positioning can result in significant physical discomfort for the surgeon. This is particularly true in specialties such as bariatric surgery , where the patient's body habitus and the use of long, fulcrumed instruments puts further strain on the surgeon's back, neck and upper arms. The advent of robotic surgery vastly improves upon the ergonomic environment for the surgeon; in the case of many of the current master–slave systems, allowing for the surgeon to be seated at a console remote from the operating table (Figure 10.3). The console positioning can be optimised ical stress and fatigue. The enclosed console system of many robotic systems also provides the advantage of surgical isolation from external distractions that may impact on the operator's concentration. The disadv antage is reduced awareness of non-verbal communication, thus highlighting the importance of team training and regular verbal cues. Motion compensation Although not commonplace in current clinical practice, robotic surgical systems may in future provide motion compensation - to facilitate surgery on a moving target. Examples where this may be beneficial are in beating heart cardiac surgery , such as - coronary artery bypass grafting and mitral valve repair. In this setting, the increased dexterity of robotic surgery combined with removing the need for cardioplegia and cross-clamping ough may be particularly beneficial in terms of reducing the post - operative inflammatory response and improving its associated morbidity . History of robotic surgery

The first documented clinical robotic procedure was a computed tomography (CT)-guided brain biopsy performed in 1985 utilising the PUMA (Programmable Universal Machine for Assembly) 560 system. This was followed by the ROBODOC, a pre-programmed active robot that enabled precise preparation of the femoral implant cavity during hip replacement. The benefit of such a device was the ability to perform tasks to a high degree of accuracy , thus minimising error and variation. While this and other active surgical robots demonstrated a number of advantages, they were largely copy , which became increasingly commonplace across during the 1990s and 2000s. - In

1992, Computer Motion developed the AESOP (Auto - mated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning) system, which mounted the endoscopic camera on a single robotic arm, allowing the surgeon to control it r emotely via voice com - mand. The system was widely used in cholecystectomy and her nia surgery and for harvesting the mammary conduit in - coronary artery bypass. This was followed by the de velopment of the ZEUS robot in 1996, a master–slave teleoperated system that provided three robotic arms, one for the voice-controlled endoscope and two further instrument arms. The surgeon was positioned at a remote console and the device was capable of motion scaling and tremor correction, facilitating its use for microsurgical procedures. ZEUS was used for the first fully endoscopic robotic surgical procedure, the reanastomosis of a Fallopian tube in 1998. The first remote surgical procedure - was performed in 2001, also utilising the ZEUS system. Here a cholecystectomy was performed on a patient in Paris by a surgeon in New Y ork, demonstrating the feasibility of remote operating. ZEUS was discontinued in 2003 after the merger of Computer Motion with Intuitive Surgical. The current era of surgical robots is dominated by the da ® Vinci surgical system, which was first approved for clinical use in 2000. The system o ff ers a number of advantages, including ® 3D surgical vision, EndoWrist precision instruments, tremor reduction, motion scaling and improved ergonomics. The ini - tial system was released in 1999 and provided three robotic arms, one of which held the endoscope. This was upgraded to the da Vinci S (2006), the da Vinci Si (2009) and subsequently the da Vinci Xi in 2014 (Figure 10.2). With each itera tion came improvements in vision and instrumentation, along with which came integrated fluorescence imaging. More recently , nov el technologies include the development of a single port system (da Vinci SP), which combines multijointed wristed instrumentation with a wristed camera through a single port to further improve dexterity and minimise surgical trauma.

(a) (b) Figure 10.2 The da Vinci Xi system: (a) surgeon console; (b) da Vinci Xi robot; (c) (c) vision cart (courtesy of Intuitive Surgical).

Surgical robots have been considered to o ff er many benefits, which have arisen as a result of new technology in lenses, cameras and computer software. Just as laparoscopic surgery benefited from advances in light technology allowing the targeted transmission of light down tubing, robotic surgery benefits from computer integration of mechanical (surgical) arms that have paved the way for computer-integrated surgery . Vision Modern robotic camera systems o ff er 3D high-definition imag ing, providing stereoscopic vision with true depth perception that enhances the visualisation of tissue planes and key struc tures. Multiport systems typically employ a rigid endoscope with or without angulation. As with conventional endoscopes, angulation to 30° allows for a wider range of vision thr manipulation of the camera position, which, in the case of robotic surgery , can be controlled by the surgeon at the console or, if required, by the assistant at the bedside. A reference horizon is commonly provided to the surgeon at the console system so as to maintain orientation throughout the procedure. More recently , modern single-port systems such as the da Vinci SP employ a wristed camera system that, in combination with fully wristed instruments, may allow for operative triangulation while at the same time maintaining a small, single skin incision. Manoeuvrability, motion scaling and tremor suppression Improved manoeuvring as a result of the ‘robotic wrist’ in some systems allows for up to seven degrees of freedom, thus improving dexterity for the surgeon. This has particular benefits in fields with significant space restraints such as transoral surgery , where conventional laparoscopy has limited applicability . Furthermore, the increased dexterity of surgical robots may facilitate a minimal access approach to more complex procedures where the technical di ffi culty of applying conventional laparoscopy may be

prohibitive. As the motion of the surgeon’s hand is translated to the ‘slave’ motion of the robotic arm, modern surgical robots are able to scale down large external movements of the surgical hands to limited internal movements. At the same time, the computer may filter out tremor in the surgeon’s hands, thus ensuring stability of the instrument tips and enhancing surgical precision. Ergonomics Although the advent of straight stick laparoscopic surgery had many advantages for the patient, for the surgeon there was a trade-o ff in terms of operative ergonomics. Increased operative time in addition to unergonomic positioning can result in significant physical discomfort for the surgeon. This is particularly true in specialties such as bariatric surgery , where the patient’s body habitus and the use of long, fulcrumed instruments puts further strain on the surgeon’s back, neck and upper arms. The advent of robotic surgery vastly improves upon the ergonomic environment for the surgeon; in the case of many of the current master–slave systems, allowing for the surgeon to be seated at a console remote from the operating table (Figure 10.3). The console positioning can be optimised ical stress and fatigue. The enclosed console system of many robotic systems also provides the advantage of surgical isolation from external distractions that may impact on the operator’s concentration. The disadv antage is reduced awareness of non-verbal communication, thus highlighting the importance of team training and regular verbal cues. Motion compensation Although not commonplace in current clinical practice, robotic surgical systems may in future provide motion compensation - to facilitate surgery on a moving target. Examples where this may be beneficial are in beating heart cardiac surgery , such as - coronary artery bypass grafting and mitral valve repair. In this setting, the increased dexterity of robotic surgery combined with removing the need for cardioplegia and cross-clamping ough may be particularly beneficial in terms of reducing the post - operative inflammatory response and improving its associated morbidity . History of robotic surgery

The first documented clinical robotic procedure was a computed tomography (CT)-guided brain biopsy performed in 1985 utilising the PUMA (Programmable Universal Machine for Assembly) 560 system. This was followed by the ROBODOC, a pre-programmed active robot that enabled precise preparation of the femoral implant cavity during hip replacement. The benefit of such a device was the ability to perform tasks to a high degree of accuracy , thus minimising error and variation. While this and other active surgical robots demonstrated a number of advantages, they were largely copy , which became increasingly commonplace across during the 1990s and 2000s. - In 1992, Computer Motion developed the AESOP (Auto - mated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning) system, which mounted the endoscopic camera on a single robotic arm, allowing the surgeon to control it r emotely via voice com - mand. The system was widely used in cholecystectomy and her nia surgery and for harvesting the mammary conduit in - coronary artery bypass. This was followed by the de velopment of the ZEUS robot in 1996, a master–slave teleoperated system that provided three robotic arms, one for the voice-controlled endoscope and two further instrument arms. The surgeon was positioned at a remote console and the device was capable of motion scaling and tremor correction, facilitating its use for microsurgical procedures. ZEUS was used for the first fully endoscopic robotic surgical procedure, the reanastomosis of a Fallopian tube in 1998. The first remote surgical procedure - was performed in 2001, also utilising the ZEUS system. Here a cholecystectomy was performed on a patient in Paris by a surgeon in New Y ork, demonstrating the feasibility of remote operating. ZEUS was discontinued in 2003 after the merger of Computer Motion with Intuitive Surgical. The current era of surgical robots is dominated by the da ® Vinci surgical system, which was first approved for clinical use in 2000. The system o ff ers a number of advantages, including ® 3D surgical vision, EndoWrist precision instruments,

tremor reduction, motion scaling and improved ergonomics. The ini - tial system was released in 1999 and provided three robotic arms, one of which held the endoscope. This was upgraded to the da Vinci S (2006), the da Vinci Si (2009) and subsequently the da Vinci Xi in 2014 (Figure 10.2). With each itera tion came improvements in vision and instrumentation, along with which came integrated fluorescence imaging. More recently , nov el technologies include the development of a single port system (da Vinci SP), which combines multijointed wristed instrumentation with a wristed camera through a single port to further improve dexterity and minimise surgical trauma.

(a) (b) Figure 10.2 The da Vinci Xi system: (a) surgeon console; (b) da Vinci Xi robot; (c) (c) vision cart (courtesy of Intuitive Surgical).

Surgical robots have been considered to o ff er many benefits, which have arisen as a result of new technology in lenses, cameras and computer software. Just as laparoscopic surgery benefited from advances in light technology allowing the targeted transmission of light down tubing, robotic surgery benefits from computer integration of mechanical (surgical) arms that have paved the way for computer-integrated surgery . Vision Modern robotic camera systems o ff er 3D high-definition imag ing, providing stereoscopic vision with true depth perception that enhances the visualisation of tissue planes and key struc tures. Multiport systems typically employ a rigid endoscope with or without angulation. As with conventional endoscopes, angulation to 30° allows for a wider range of vision thr manipulation of the camera position, which, in the case of robotic surgery , can be controlled by the surgeon at the console or, if required, by the assistant at the bedside. A reference horizon is commonly provided to the surgeon at the console system so as to maintain orientation throughout the procedure. More recently , modern single-port systems such as the da Vinci SP employ a wristed camera system that, in combination with fully wristed instruments, may allow for operative triangulation while at the same time maintaining a small, single skin incision. Manoeuvrability, motion scaling and tremor suppression Improved manoeuvring as a result of the ‘robotic wrist’ in some systems allows for up to seven degrees of freedom, thus improving dexterity for the surgeon. This has particular benefits in fields with significant space restraints such as transoral surgery , where conventional laparoscopy has limited applicability . Furthermore, the increased dexterity of surgical robots may facilitate a minimal access approach to more complex procedures where the technical di ffi culty of applying conventional laparoscopy may be prohibitive. As the motion of the surgeon’s hand is translated to the ‘slave’ motion of the robotic arm, modern surgical robots are able to scale down large external movements of the surgical hands to limited internal movements. At the same time, the computer may filter out tremor in the surgeon’s hands, thus ensuring stability of the instrument tips and enhancing surgical precision. Ergonomics Although the advent of straight stick laparoscopic surgery had many advantages for the patient, for the surgeon there was a trade-o ff in terms of operative ergonomics. Increased operative time in addition to unergonomic positioning can result in significant physical discomfort for the surgeon. This is particularly true in specialties such as bariatric surgery , where the patient’s body habitus and the use of long, fulcrumed instruments puts further strain on the surgeon’s back, neck and upper arms. The advent of robotic surgery vastly improves upon the ergonomic environment for the surgeon; in the case of many of the current master–slave systems, allowing for the surgeon to be seated at a console remote from the operating table (Figure 10.3). The console positioning can be optimised ical stress and fatigue. The enclosed console system of many robotic systems also provides the advantage of surgical isolation from external distractions that may impact on the operator’s concentration. The disadv antage is reduced awareness of non-verbal communication, thus highlighting the importance of team training and regular verbal cues. Motion

compensation Although not commonplace in current clinical practice, robotic surgical systems may in future provide motion compensation - to facilitate surgery on a moving target. Examples where this may be beneficial are in beating heart cardiac surgery , such as - coronary artery bypass grafting and mitral valve repair. In this setting, the increased dexterity of robotic surgery combined with removing the need for cardioplegia and cross-clamping ough may be particularly beneficial in terms of reducing the post - operative inflammatory response and improving its associated morbidity .

Revision #1
Created 2025-12-31 15:07:59 UTC by Omar Ayman
Updated 2025-12-31 15:07:59 UTC by Omar Ayman